REMARKS

Applicant herewith cancels claims 11 and 13-16 without prejudice or disclaimer as to the subject matter thereof, amends independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2, 3, and 8.

Applicant respectfully requests entry and favorable consideration of the amendments and remarks contained herein. This Response After Final Rejection contains slight amendments intended to place the pending claims in condition for allowance and said response was not filed earlier due to the procedural posture of the application. Applicants respectfully suggest that entry of the slight amendments will not raise new issues or require additional search.

Claims 1-8, 10, 11 and 13-16 stand rejected under §102 as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under §103 as being obvious from Cohen (U.S. Patent No. 5,156,148). Claim 9 stands rejected as being obvious over Cohen. The application of Cohen is the same for independent claim 1.

Applicant herewith amends independent claim 1 in an attempt to distinguish the claimed invention from Cohen and suggests that said claim is now rendered sufficiently distinct from Cohen and should be allowed. That is, Applicant could not discern disclosure or teaching present in Cohen whereby a first drug is dispensed to deal with a chamber-specific arrthymia and a second drug, different from said first drug, is dispensed to deal with a different chamber-specific arrthymia.

Appl. No. 09/642,148 Reply to Office action Mailed 16 December 2004 Page 6

Since claims 2-10 depend directly or indirectly from independent claim 1 they too should be deemed sufficiently distinguished from Cohen so that they too should be allowed.

CONCLUSION

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

Chester L.Struble

Paul H. McDowall

Reg. No. 34,873 (763) 514-3351

Customer No. 27581